

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,285	MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie K Smith	3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julie K Smith.

(3) Mark Neblett

(2) David Bucci.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: of record

Identification of prior art discussed: of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


It was agreed that amending claim 1 to state that the pivot shaft is in direct contact with the firing end is released therefrom upon a collision
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

Applied to respond in due course

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required